

No. 11 (112)-3Lab-79/7111.- In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/S Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA ROHTAK

Reference No. 373 of 1978

SHRI RADHEY SHYAM WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JINDAL  
STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR.

*Present*

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

**AWARD**

By order No. 1D/HSR/76-78/56318, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Radhey Shyam to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Radhey Shyam was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 6th February, 1979, arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned level, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Radhey Shyam was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endorsement No. 1379, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded, (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.  
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7113.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK.

Reference No. 360 of 1978

*between*

SHRI RAJA RAM WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD.,  
DELHI ROAD, HISSAR.

*Present :—*

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/76-78/56199, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Raja Ram to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Raja Ram was justified and in Order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the Workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

“The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?”

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of service of Shri Raja Ram was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated 31st May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1383, Dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 11(1123)-Lab-79/7114. —In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s, Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK-

Reference No. 363 of 1978

SHRI RAJAI WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI  
ROAD, HISSAR.

*Present:—*

Shri. Tek Chand Gupta for the workman.

Shri. V. P. Gupta for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. 1D/HSR/76-78/56217, dated 18th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/S. Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Rajai to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Rajai was justified and in Order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979, arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri. Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

“The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filled?”

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Rajai was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1385, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7115.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 361 of 1978

SHRI VAKIL WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD.,  
DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

*Present:—*

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/76-78/56205, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Vakil to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Vakil was justified and in Order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 6th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Vakil was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

Endorsement No. 1384, dated the 8th June, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7116.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd. Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 376 of 1978

SHRI MOTI CHAND WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL  
STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present:—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/62-78/56360, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Moti Chand to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Moti Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Moti Chand was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1382, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7117.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 365 of 1978.

SHRI HARI KISHAN WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS  
LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present :—

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/76-78/56229, dated the 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Hari Kishan to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hari Kishan was justified and in Order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 8th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement :

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Hari Kishan was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1387, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7118.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 364 of 1978

SHRI DUDH NATH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. JINDAL  
STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present :

Shri Tek Chand, Gupta for the workman.  
Shri V. P. Gupta for the management

AWARD

By order No. 1D/HSR/76-78/56223, dated 18th December, 1978 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd. Hissar and its workman Shri Dudh Nath to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether termination of services of Shri Dudh Nath workman, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 5th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 20th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

“The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed ?

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman. I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Dudh Nath was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated: 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Haryana.

Endst. No. 1386, Dated, 8th June 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Deptt., Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.